July 13, 2010

Mr. Jesse W. Rogers President Midwestern State University 3410 Taft Boulevard Wichita Falls, Texas 76308-2099

OR2010-10346

Dear Mr. Rogers:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 386514.

Midwestern State University (the "university") received a request for information pertaining to the university's security cameras. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you argue that a portion of the request requires the university to answer questions. The Act does not require a governmental body to answer general questions, perform legal research, or create new information in response to a request for information. See Open Records Decision Nos. 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990). However, the Act does require the governmental body to make a good faith effort to relate a request to information that the governmental body holds or to which it has access. See Open Records Decision Nos. 563 at 8, 561 at 8-9 (1990), 555 at 1-2, 534 at 2-3 (1989). In this instance, we assume the university has made a good faith effort to locate any information responsive to this request. Accordingly, we will address your claimed exceptions for the submitted information.

We next note that a portion of the submitted information consists of purchase orders and invoices related to the expenditure of public funds by the university. Thus, this information is subject to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code, which provides:

[T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3). Accordingly, the university may withhold the information subject to section 552.022 only if it is "expressly confidential under other law[.]" Although the university raises section 552.108 of the Government Code for this information, section 552.108 is a discretionary exception that protect a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 177 (1977) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.108). As such, section 552.108 does not make information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, the university may not withhold the information subject to section 552.022, which we have marked, under section 552.108. However, we note some of the information subject to section 552.022 contains information subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Because this section is "other law" for purposes of section 552.022, we consider its applicability to the information at issue.

Section 552.136 states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b). Section 552.136(a) defines "access device" as "a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to . . . obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value [or] initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument." *Id.* § 552.136(a). Upon review, we conclude the information we marked must be withheld under section 552.136.<sup>2</sup> The remaining information subject to section 552.022 must be released.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including credit card numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

Next, we understand you to claim the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code. Section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from disclosure an internal record of a law enforcement agency that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution if "release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution [.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108(b)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. See Gov't Code §§ 552.108(b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); see also Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). This office has concluded that section 552.108(b) excepts from public disclosure information relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (1989) (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 456 (1987) (release of forms containing information regarding location of off-duty police officers in advance would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 413 (1984) (release of sketch showing security measures to be used at next execution would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 409 (1984) (if information regarding certain burglaries exhibit a pattern that reveals investigative techniques, information is excepted under section 552.108), 341 (1982) (release of certain information from Texas Department of Public Safety would unduly interfere with law enforcement because release would hamper departmental efforts to detect forgeries of drivers' licenses), 252 (1980) (section 552.108 is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted). Generally known policies and techniques, however, may not be withheld under section 552.108. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 531 at 2-3 (1989) (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force are not protected under section 552.108), 252 at 3 (1980) (governmental body did not meet burden because it did not indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known).

You contend that the campus police department is in charge of the security cameras. You further state that the maintenance and repair documents, minutes, and other matters relating to these cameras are to prevent crime, and the police department's efforts would become compromised by the release of the information. Upon review of your arguments, the university may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.108(b)(1). However, we find that the university has failed to explain in any detail how release of any portion of the remaining information would interfere with law enforcement or crime prevention. Accordingly, the university may only withhold the information we have marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

In summary, the university must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136. The university may withhold the information we marked under section 552.108(b)(1). The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Chris Schulz

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

CS/tp

Ref: ID# 386514

Submitted documents Enc.

c: Requestor (w/o enclosures)